

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNIEY DOCKET NO.

34M1/1016

08/759.330

12/02/96

KRUSKAMP

s 10058

LOTHROP AND WEST 8801 FOLSOM BOULEVARD SUITE 105

SACRAMENTO CA 95826-3249

EXAMINER CHIN.R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3405 7

DATE MAILED:

10/16/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pros accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, within the period for response will cause e obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-25	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 2-12 and 17	
G Glaim(s) 20 - 25	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) /3-/8	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
	objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority document	nts have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
$\hfill\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	·
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	4.
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•

Serial Number: 08/759,330

Art Unit: 3405

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 2-12 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected species. Election was made without traverse in

Paper No. 6.

Applicant's election of Figs. 7-11 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did

not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 1.

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claims 21-24 recite an "apparatus" on line 1, however, no term "apparatus" has been set

forth in claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Serial Number: 08/759,330

Art Unit: 3405

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tremblay '859.

4. Claims 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 25 is allowed and claims 20-24 would be allowed if the minor informalities set forth above are corrected.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Steindorf, Hercer, Rade, Dao, and Mower are pertinent to blade cleaners.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Randall Chin at telephone number (703) 308-1613.

Ur_

R. Chin

October 14, 1997

HANDALL CHIN PATENT EXAMINER Page 3